

<b>QNXT Upgrade and TriZetto Hosting</b>	
<b>Agency Requesting The Project:</b>	
Child Safety Department	
<b>Business Unit Requesting The Project:</b>	
CMDP	
<b>Sponsor Of the Project:</b>	
Linda Jewell	
<b>Sponsor Title:</b>	
DCS CIO	
<b>Sponsor Phone Number:</b>	<b>Extension:</b>
(602) 255-2520	
<b>Sponsor Email Address:</b>	
ljewell@azdes.gov	

Has a Project Request been completed for this PIJ?	Y
--	---

<p>What is the operational issue or business need that the Agency is trying to solve?</p> <p>As part of the Arizona Department of Child Safety (DCS), Comprehensive Medical and Dental Program's (CMDP's) current version of QNXT (v4.8) is not supported by TriZetto/Cognizant (the creators of QNXT), and as a result, CMDP receives no software updates for security or other regular updates and enhancements. This is also causing CMDP to be out of regulatory compliance with AHCCCS. QNXT is the third party application that CMDP uses as its healthcare management system. It stores information regarding members, providers, claims, payments, etc.</p> <p>The current version does not allow CMDP to process Dental claims correctly due to ADA 2012 requirements, claims can not be encountered by AHCCCS correctly due to missing fields, taxonomy codes are not captured, and other payment and reporting issues. In addition, staying on the older version of QNXT is requiring current resources to research and develop workarounds until the final solution can be implemented. The more workarounds/custom code that is developed and put into place, the harder an upgrade is going to be. Version 5.2 or above is required to bring CMDP into compliance.</p> <p>TriZetto has done an assessment on the current QNXT configuration and is prepared to proceed with an upgrade to the most recent version. In addition, the servers on which QNXT currently reside are owned by DES. Due to the separation efforts between DES and DCS, we would like the upgrade of QNXT to be hosted by TriZetto, the company that owns the QNXT product.</p>
---

<p>How will solving this issue or addressing this need benefit the State or the Agency?</p> <p>With the update to v5.x of QNXT, CMDP will be back in compliance with AHCCCS, it's regulator. The upgrade will also consolidate many of the custom processes which were built previously, allows for a streamlined and more manageable solution. With the migration to a TriZetto hosted solution, DCS will no longer be reliant upon the DES network for QNXT operations.</p> <p>The upgrade will also reduce a lot of the previously implemented custom coding, and also make TriZetto responsible for keeping these processes updated. Included in the SOWs are options to apply quarterly updates (at no additional cost), in addition to one upgrade per year to the newest version of QNXT available (at no additional cost). This will ensure CMDP stays in compliance in the future.</p>
---

Describe the proposed solution to this business need:

The proposed solution involves a two-phased approach, each with their own SOW. Each phase is outlined below.

Phase 1 - Hosting: We are proposing that TriZetto host the upgraded application as part of the upgrade. Currently, the QNXT software and peripheral applications are hosted on DES/DTS servers. This phase of the project will also benefit DCS as part of the separation project from DES. TriZetto currently hosts instances of QNXT for several other health plans, and is working towards becoming a State of Arizona hosting partner as part of this project.

Phase 2 - QNXT Upgrade: We are proposing that TriZetto assess and upgrade the current QNXT v4.8 to v5.2+ and bring it up to speed with the best practices it suggests for its clients. TriZetto, as creator of the QNXT product, knows how the software should be configured and setup. This phase will also include converting several custom in-house built processes to use standard TriZetto applications, such as Qconnect to process electronic data transmissions.

Has the existing technology environment, into which the proposed solution will be implemented, been documented?

Please describe the existing technology environment into which the proposed solution will be implemented.

The solution hosted within the Trizetto environment. Prior to any State data being transferred into the vendor environment, the baseline security checklist will be completed to ensure it meets states standards.

Have the business requirements been gathered, along with any technology requirements that have been identified?

Are you submitting this as a Pre-PIJ in order to issue a Request for Proposal (RFP) to evaluate options and select a solution that meets the project requirements?

Will you be completing an assessment phase, i.e., an evaluation by a vendor, third party or your agency, of the current state, needs, and desired future state, in order to determine the cost, effort, approach (RFP or otherwise) and/or feasibility of a project before submitting the full PIJ?

Describe the reason for completing the assessment and the expected deliverable(s) below:

The assessment was completed in December 2015 by TriZetto in preparation for this upgrade project. It was needed to provide a full scope and estimate for the SOW. Deliverables received from the assessment are:

- AZ DES CMDP EDM Assessment Questionnaire (CMDP)
- AZ\_QNXT\_SQL Agent Jobs v1.0
- AZCMDP\_ETL\_Connect\_assessment
- AZDES\_Custom\_Solution\_Upgrade\_Assessment
- CMDP 52 Assessment - Communication Plan (CMDP signed)
- CMDP 52 Assessment - Project Charter (CMDP signed)
- CMDP\_QNXT\_Functional Review

Provide the estimated cost, if any, to conduct the RFP/solicitation process and/or assessment phase:

\$235,176.00

Provide the estimated start and finish date for conducting the RFP solicitation/assessment phase:

Estimated Start	10/01/15	Estimated Finish	12/01/15
-----------------	----------	------------------	----------

Provide a projected start and finish date for implementing the final solution.			
Estimated Start	04/01/16	Estimated Finish	12/31/16

Based on research to date, provide a high-level cost estimate to implement the final solution below:
\$4,500,000.00

Does the project fall into one of the following categories: - hardware technology refresh/expansion, e.g., replacement/more laptops, radios, peripherals, etc.? - software version refresh/additional licenses, e.g., MS Office 2013 replacing 2010, extra software licenses needed for additional PCs?	<input type="checkbox"/> N
---	----------------------------

Is the proposed procurement the result of an RFP solicitation process?	<input type="checkbox"/> N
--	----------------------------

Is this project referenced in your agency's Strategic IT Plan?	<input type="checkbox"/> Y
--	----------------------------

Does your agency have a formal project methodology in place?	<input type="checkbox"/> N
--	----------------------------

Describe the make-up and roles/responsibilities of the project team, e.g. participants, sponsors, stakeholders, etc. below:
<p>Phase 1: Hosting</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- DCS IT will be responsible for the overall management and progress of the hosting project.</li> <li>- DCS IT will be responsible for ensuring the state network can communicate with TriZetto.</li> <li>- TriZetto will be responsible for the hosted environment and all supporting documentation.</li> </ul> <p>Phase 2: Upgrade</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- DCS IT will be responsible for the overall management and progress of the upgrade project.</li> <li>- CMDDP senior management will be providing business input for data migration.</li> <li>- TriZetto will be responsible for developing all of the project management deliverables, such as project plan, scope documents, and such.</li> </ul>

Will a PM be assigned to manage the project, regardless of whether internal or vendor provided?	<input type="checkbox"/> Y
---	----------------------------

If the PM is credentialed, e.g., PMP, CPM, etc., please provide certification information below:
PM services will be provided by the vendor. Certification are not known at this time.

Is a project plan available that reflects the estimated start date and end date of the project, and the supporting milestones for the project?	<input type="checkbox"/> Y
--	----------------------------

Has a test/pilot phase been incorporated?	<input type="checkbox"/> Y
---	----------------------------

Have steps needed to roll-out to all impacted parties been incorporated, e.g. communications, planned outages, deployment plan?	<input type="checkbox"/> Y
---	----------------------------

Will the implementation require any physical infrastructure improvements, e.g., building reconstruction, major re-wiring, etc.?	<input type="checkbox"/> N
---	----------------------------

Are there any known resource availability conflicts that could impact the project?	N
Does your schedule have dependencies on any other projects or procurements?	Y
Will the implementation involve major end user view or functionality changes?	Y
Will the proposed solution result in a change to a public-facing application or system?	Y
Is a detailed project budget reflecting all of the up-front/startup costs to implement the project available, e.g., hardware, initial software licenses, training, taxes, P&OS, etc.?	Y
Have the ongoing support costs for sustaining the proposed solution over a 5-year lifecycle, once the project is complete, been determined, e.g., ongoing vendor hosting costs, annual maintenance and support not acquired upfront, etc.?	Y
Have all required funding sources for the project and ongoing support costs been identified?	Y
Will the funding for this project expire on a specific date, regardless of project timelines?	N
Will the funding allocated for this project include any contingency, in the event of cost over-runs or potential changes in scope?	Y
Please indicate whether a statewide enterprise solution will be used or select the primary reason for not choosing an enterprise solution: No Statewide Enterprise Solution Available	
Will the technology and all required services be acquired off existing State contract(s)?	Y
Will any software be acquired through the current State value-added reseller contract?	N
Does the project involve any technology that is new and/or unfamiliar to your agency, e.g., software tool never used before, virtualized server environment?	Y
Does your agency have experience with the vendor (if known)?	Y
Does the vendor (if known) have professional experience with similar projects?	Y
Does the project involve any coordination across multiple vendors?	N
Does this project require multiple system interfaces, e.g., APIs, data exchange with other external application systems/agencies or other internal systems/divisions?	Y
Have any compatibility issues been identified between the proposed solution and the existing environment, e.g., upgrade to server needed before new COTS solution can be installed?	N
Will a migration/conversion step be required, i.e., data extract, transformation and load?	Y

Is this replacing an existing solution?  Y

Indicate below when the solution being replaced was originally acquired?  
The upgrade to the current QNXT 4.8 happened in 2009.

Describe the planned disposition of the existing technology below, e.g., surplus, retired, used as backup, used for another purpose:  
The current version and database will be used as a temporary backup until the full migration to the new version is complete. Upon successful migration, the servers will be turned back over to DES to continue to use it to support DDD (Division of Developmental Disabilities) and RMAP (Refugee Medical Assistance Program) for their acute claims, or deallocate the servers.

Describe how the agency determined the quantities reflected in the PIJ, e.g., number of hours of P&OS, disk capacity required, etc. for the proposed solution?  
DCS defined the requirements which were used in generating the Statement of Work with Trizetto. The quantities of licenses and ongoing hosting costs are based upon software requirements, and the current and planned number of users of the software. The professional and outside services costs were defined based upon upgrading the QNXT application from the existing version to the most current, as well as moving from an on premise solution to a hosted solution.

Does the proposed solution and associated costs reflect any assumptions regarding projected growth, e.g., more users over time, increases in the amount of data to be stored over 5 years?  Y

Does the proposed solution and associated costs include failover and disaster recovery contingencies?  Y

Will the vendor need to configure the proposed solution for use by your agency?  Y

Are the costs associated with that configuration included in the PIJ financials?  Y

Will any application development or customization of the proposed solution be required for the agency to use the product in the current/planned technology environment, e.g., a COTS application that will require custom programming, an agency application that will be entirely custom developed?  Y

Describe who will be customizing the solution below:  
All custom coding that is not converted to a COTS solution will be maintained by the QNXT IT team, part of DCS/CMDP.

Do the resources that will be customizing the application have experience with the technology platform being used, e.g., .NET, Java, Drupal?  Y

Please select the application development methodology that will be used:  
Agile/Scrum

Provide an estimate of the amount of customized development required, e.g., 25% for a COTS application, 100% for pure custom development, and describe how that estimate was determined below:  
25% customized development or less will be required. Known processes are BREAZ (payments) export and warrant import, transmissions to CHILDS, EPSDT/quarterly report processing, CRS data import/export, ETI import/export, PAT file generation, Service Verification Audit load, NDC loads, Optum provider loads, PAH file import/export.

Are any/all Professional & Outside Services costs associated with the customized development included in the PIJ financials?

Y

Have you determined that this project is in compliance with all applicable statutes, regulations, policies, standards, and procedures, including those for network, security, platform, software/application, and/or data/information found at <https://aset.az.gov/resources/psp>?

Y

Are there other high risk project items not identified?

N

Will the proposed solution be vendor-hosted?

Y

Please select from the following vendor-hosted options:  
Vendor Hosted

Describe the rationale for selecting the vendor-hosted option below:

The current software is being hosted in the DES environment. Due to separation efforts between DES and DCS, a hosted solution has been selected to continue the separation of DCS specific programs and infrastructure. A hosted solution will also allow DCS IT resources to concentrate on the DES/DCS separation and buildout of the DCS infrastructure and not managing and maintaining the QNXT application.

Has the agency been able to confirm the long-term viability of the vendor-hosted environment?

Y

Has the agency addressed contract termination contingencies, e.g., solution ownership, data ownership, application portability, migration plans upon contract/support termination?

N

Has a Conceptual Design / Network Diagram been provided and reviewed by ASET-SPR?

N

Has the spreadsheet located at <https://aset.az.gov/arizona-baseline-security-controls-excel> already been completed by the vendor and approved by ASET-SPR?

N

Will the proposed solution be hosted on-premise in a state agency?

N

Will any PII, PHI, or other Protected Information as defined in the 8110 Statewide Data Classification Policy be transmitted, stored, or processed with this project?

Y

Describe below what security infrastructure/controls are/will be put in place to safeguard this data:

The hosting solution will be vetted by ASET Security to ensure that it meets state standards prior to any data being transferred to the new hosted solution.

**Summary of PIJ Financials**

Total of Development Cost:	\$ 3,404,414
Total of Operational Cost:	\$ 2,549,355
<b>Total Costs:</b>	<b>\$ 5,953,770</b>

**Project Cost - Itemized**

Item	Description	Category	Development (Implementation) or Operational (Ongoing)	Fiscal Year Spend	Qty or Hours	Unit Cost	Extended Cost	Enter Tax Rate if Applicable (Generally 8.3% for PHX)	Tax	Total Cost
1	QNXT Upgrade - Upfront Payment	Prof & Outside Services	Development	1	1	\$824,218	\$824,218	12.05%	\$99,318	\$923,536
2	QNXT Upgrade - Monthly Cost Total	Prof & Outside Services	Development	1	2	\$213,686	\$427,372	12.05%	\$51,498	\$478,871
3	QNXT Upgrade - Monthly Cost Total	Prof & Outside Services	Development	2	7	\$213,686	\$1,495,803	12.05%	\$180,244	\$1,676,048
4	Hosting - Base (1-9)	Prof & Outside Services	Development	1	3	\$14,441	\$43,323	12.05%	\$5,220	\$48,543
5	Hosting - Base (1-9)	Prof & Outside Services	Development	2	6	\$14,441	\$86,646	12.05%	\$10,441	\$97,087
6	Hosting - Base (10-36)	Prof & Outside Services	Operational	2	6	\$49,713	\$298,278	12.05%	\$35,942	\$334,220
7	Hosting - Base (10-36)	Prof & Outside Services	Operational	3	12	\$49,713	\$596,556	12.05%	\$71,885	\$668,441
8	Hosting - Base (10-36)	Prof & Outside Services	Operational	4	12	\$49,713	\$596,556	12.05%	\$71,885	\$668,441
9	Hosting - Base (10-36)	Prof & Outside Services	Operational	5	12	\$49,713	\$596,556	12.05%	\$71,885	\$668,441
10	Hosting - Member Increases	Prof & Outside Services	Development	1	1	\$6,115	\$6,115	12.05%	\$737	\$6,851
11	Hosting - Member Increases	Prof & Outside Services	Development	2	1	\$154,822	\$154,822	12.05%	\$18,656	\$173,478
12	Hosting - Member Increases	Prof & Outside Services	Operational	2	1	\$16,272	\$16,272	12.05%	\$1,961	\$18,233
13	Hosting - Member Increases	Prof & Outside Services	Operational	3	1	\$47,687	\$47,687	12.05%	\$5,746	\$53,433
14	Hosting - Member Increases	Prof & Outside Services	Operational	4	1	\$57,566	\$57,566	12.05%	\$6,937	\$64,503
15	Hosting - Member Increases	Prof & Outside Services	Operational	5	1	\$65,723	\$65,723	12.05%	\$7,920	\$73,643
16		[-Select-]	[-Select-]	[-Select-]						
17		[-Select-]	[-Select-]	[-Select-]						
									Total Development Cost	\$3,404,414
									Total Operational Cost	\$2,549,355
									<b>Total Itemization of Costs:</b>	<b>\$5,953,770</b>

Summary of Funding Sources			
Fund Type	% of Project	\$ of Project (Available)	\$ of Project (To Be Requested)
Base Budget			
APF			
Other Appropriated			
Federal	100.00%	\$5,953,769.83	
Other Non-Appropriated			

Total costs available to distribute between funding sources
\$0.00

**PIJ Development & Operational Cost Summary**

Description	Type	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Extended Cost
Professional & Outside Services	Development	\$1,457,802	\$1,946,612	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$3,404,414
	Operational	\$0	\$352,454	\$721,874	\$732,944	\$742,084	\$2,549,355
Hardware	Development	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	Operational	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Software	Development	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	Operational	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Communications	Development	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	Operational	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Facilities	Development	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	Operational	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Licensing & Maintenance Fees	Development	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	Operational	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other	Development	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	Operational	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
<b>Development Cost:</b>		\$1,457,802	\$1,946,612	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$3,404,414
<b>Operational Cost:</b>		\$0	\$352,454	\$721,874	\$732,944	\$742,084	\$2,549,355
<b>Total Cost:</b>							\$5,953,770

# Areas of Impact

## 1 Application Systems

- |                                     |   |
|-------------------------------------|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Application Enhancements                                      |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Internal Use Web Application                                  |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | Mobile Application Development                                |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | Arizona Enterprise Solution Platform (AESP) based Application |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | New Application Development                                   |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | az.gov Web Portal Application                                 |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | Other: (Please specify below)                                 |

## 2 Database Systems

- |                                     |  |
|-------------------------------------|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Data Warehouse/Mart  |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Database Consolidation/Migration/Extract Transform and Load Data |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Database Products and Tools:                                     |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | Oracle   |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | MySQL  |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | DB2  |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | MS SQL Server  |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | Other: (Please specify below)                                    |

## 3 Software

- |                                     |                                |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | COTS Application Customization |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | COTS Application Acquisition   |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | Mainframe Systems Software     |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | Open Source                    |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | PC/LAN Systems Software        |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | Virtualization                 |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | Other: (Please specify below)  |

## 4 Hardware

- |                                     |                               |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | LAN/WAN Infrastructure        |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | Mainframe Infrastructure      |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Storage Area Network Devices  |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | Public Safety Radios, Systems |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | PC Purchases, Peripherals     |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | Tape Libraries/Silos          |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | UPS Devices                   |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | Other: (Please specify below) |

### 5 Hosted Solution (Cloud Implementation)

- State Data Center
- Commercially Hosted:
  - Amazon (AWS) GovCloud
  - Century Link - I/O Data Center
  - AWS (non-government) cloud
  - Microsoft Azure
- Vendor Hosted
- Other: (Please explain below)

### 6 Security

- Encryption
- Security Appliances:
  - Firewall
  - Intrusion Detection System (IDS)
  - Intrusion Prevention System (IPS)
- SecurityControls/Systems - Other: (Please specify below)
- Physical Controls (Badging Systems, Iris Scanners, Other: (Please specify below))
- Other: (Please specify below)

### 7 Telecommunications

- Network Communications Infrastructure
- Telephone Upgrade-Business-Specific
- Cabling
- Wireless Access Points
- Telephony Upgrade-EIC Solution
- Trenching
- Videoconferencing
- Other: (Please specify below)

## 8 Enterprise Solutions

- Business Intelligence System
- E-Signatures
- Geographic Information Systems
- Other Imaging - Photos, Fingerprints, etc.
- Document Management/Imaging
- eLicensing
- Management Systems - Financial, Grants, Asset
- Disaster Recovery/Business Continuity
- Other: (Please specify below)

## 9 Contract Services/Procurement

- Contracted Project Management
- Contractor Support Services
- Install/Configuration Contract Services
- State Contract
- Vendor provided
- Procurement (RFP, IFB, DPR, etc.)
- Other: (Please specify below)

## Meeting Invite Checklist

Role	Name	Email Address	Date Reviewed
Agency Project Sponsor*	Linda Jewell	LJewell@azdes.gov	03/17/16
Agency Chief Information Officer (CIO) (or designee)*	Linda Jewell	LJewell@azdes.gov	03/17/16
Agency Information Security Officer (ISO) (or designee)*	Jeffrey Raynor	<a href="mailto:jraynor@azdes.gov">jraynor@azdes.gov</a>	03/17/16
Joint Legislative Budget Committee (JLBC) representative			
Office of Strategic Planning & Budgeting (OSPB) representative			
ADOA-ASET Strategic Program Manager *	James Dean	<a href="mailto:james.dean@azdoa.gov">james.dean@azdoa.gov</a>	03/17/16
ADOA-ASET Security, Privacy & Risk (ASET-SPR) representative*	Tim Guerriero	<a href="mailto:Tim.Guerriero@azdoa.gov">Tim.Guerriero@azdoa.gov</a>	03/17/16
Agency CPO or State Procurement Office (SPO) representative			
Agency CFO or Finance representative (if different from CPO)			
<b>Others to Invite (if applicable):</b>			
DCS QNXT IT Manager	Owen Blackshaw	OBlackshaw@azdes.gov	03/17/16
DCS IT Operations Director	DeAnn Seneff	DSeneff@azdes.gov	03/17/16
DCS IT Operations Manager	Matt Grant	MGrant@azdes.gov	03/17/16
DCS Infrastructure Architect	Jay Cline	JCline@azdes.gov	03/17/16
CMDP Assistant Director/CEO	Karla Mouw	KMouw@azdes.gov	03/17/16
CMDP Chief Operations Officer	Jason Winfrey	JWinfrey@azdes.gov	03/17/16
CMDP Corporate Compliance Manager	Lori Stratford	LStratford@azdes.gov	03/17/16

\* Required Attendee

## Official ADOA-ASET Use Only

✓	Has the value of the IT project to the public and the State been identified?
✓	Does the proposed solution address the stated problem or situation?
✓	Has the budget unit demonstrated competency to carry out the project successfully?
✓	Have all applicable questions in the PIJ been addressed?
✓	Have the Areas of Impact associated with the project been identified?
✓	Is sufficient sponsorship and support by budget unit leadership evidenced in the meeting?
✓	Has the compatibility of the proposed solution with other budget unit solutions been addressed?
✓	Has a reasonable Project Plan been provided?
✓	Has the compliance of the proposed solution with all applicable statewide standards been confirmed?
✓	Have any potential risks or issues associated with the project or the proposed solution been identified and appropriately addressed to minimize unintended consequences?
✓	Have the cost estimates for the project been vetted for accuracy?
✓	Have the PIJ Financials been completed?
✓	Have any/all of the following startup costs to implement the project been included under Development in the financial tables, if applicable - tax; shipping; upfront maintenance and support; professional services (P&OS); ancillary software to run on equipment; ancillary hardware to install equipment, e.g., cables; other associated costs, e.g., training, travel, documentation, etc.?
✓	Have any/all of the following ongoing/5-year support costs, once the project is implemented, been included under Operational in the financial tables, if applicable - ongoing vendor hosting costs, including any projected increase over time; annual maintenance and support not acquired upfront; extended costs after warranty expiration; P&OS commitments beyond implementation?
✓	Have you confirmed that no Full Time Employee (FTE) related costs have been included in the project costs?
✓	Have quotes been provided for all itemized costs in the PIJ, e.g., professional services, hardware, software, licensing, etc.?
✓	Do the quotes match the itemized list and only reflect those items and costs (within 5%) associated with this project?
✓	If not, describe below how the costs in the PIJ differ from the quotes, e.g., if quantities are different, costs are comprised of portions of multiple quotes provided, etc.:
	N/A

If any of the above are not complete, the PIJ cannot be approved at this time.....

PIJ Disposition			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Approved		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Approved with conditions		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Not Approved		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Recommended to ITAC		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Recommended to ITAC with Conditions		
Strategic Program Manager Analysis			
<p>The Department of Child Safety's Comprehensive Medical and Dental Program currently uses a software program which is no longer supported by the vendor and managed on the Department of Economic Security's infrastructure. Without upgrading to the latest version, DCS remains out of compliance with AHCCCS leading to claims that cannot be encountered properly. DCS has also customized the software over the years, which can make the transition to later versions more difficult. DCS proposes to utilize the vendor of the application to upgrade to the latest version, which will eliminate the need for much of the custom code currently in use, and bring DCS back in compliance with AHCCCS. Additionally, DCS will be moving the application from the DES infrastructure over to the vendors hosted solution, reducing the need for internal resource to manage and maintain the software as well as ensuring regular version updates can occur. DCS has worked with ADOA-ASET's Security area to ensure that the hosted solution will be in compliance with State standards prior to any data being migrated. There are no issues or concerns regarding the project at this time. Recommended to ITAC via delegated authority.</p>			
Authorized Approver:	James Dean	Approval Date:	3/17/2016

Condition (If Applicable)
<p>The Arizona Baseline Security Controls document must be completed in order to ensure that the selected solution will provide an appropriate level of protection for State data prior to migration of any data to the hosted solution.</p>