

Project Investment Justification

State Tax Accounting and Reconciliation
System (STARS) Discovery and Planning
Phase

RV23006

Department of Revenue

Contents

1. General Information	3
2. Meeting Pre-Work	3
3. Pre-PIJ/Assessment	6
4. Project	
5. Schedule	
6. Impact	8
7. Budget	8
8. Technology	9
9. Security	11
10. Areas of Impact	
11. Financials	
12. Project Success	13



13. Conditions	13
14. Oversight Summary	14
15. PII Review Checklist	15



1. GENERAL INFORMATION

PIJ ID: RV23006

PIJ Name: State Tax Accounting and Reconciliation System (STARS) Discovery and Planning Phase

Account: Department of Revenue

Business Unit Requesting: Department of Revenue

Sponsor: Neeraj Deshpande **Sponsor Title:** Deputy Director

Sponsor Email: ndeshpande@azdor.gov

Sponsor Phone: (602) 716-6047

2. MEETING PRE-WORK



2.1 What is the operational issue or business need that the Agency is trying to solve? (i.e....current process is manual, which increases resource time/costs to the State/Agency, and leads to errors...):

A feasibility study was conducted to discuss the current state of business operations and the systems supporting those operations. These sessions identified a series of observations with implications for ADOR's ability to realize its vision of funding Arizona's future through excellence in innovation, customer service and continuous improvement.

Key observations included:

- There are currently a variety of systems and other tools being utilized to support operations, which often do not integrate with each other, creating inefficiencies in operations and conflicting data outputs;
- Use of the multiple systems has resulted in some loss of data integrity;
- Most of the key systems are old technology and are becoming increasingly difficult and costly to maintain;
- The lack of integration and uncertainty of data make taxpayer service and compliance activities much more difficult, resulting in:
 - Taxpayer and tax service provider frustration and loss of confidence;
 - Reduced employee productivity;
 - Lost revenue for the State;
- Lack of efficiency in producing accurate and timely data and analyses requested by state legislative or executive branch staff and other
 - state and local agencies;
 - Employee frustration and employee turnover.

All of these factors lead to extensive manual and disjointed effort to administer tax for the State of Arizona and its stakeholders. Grant Thornton specifically quoted during the assessment: ""ADOR staff are engaged in herculean efforts to perform tax administration functions, accurately account for revenue, deliver customer service and be a reliable, responsive partner to tax partners and representatives.

In FY23, Q2, ADOR received a favorable review of \$870,900 for the STARS Pre Contract Award Preparation (RV23002) project aimed at the Request for Proposal (RFP) evaluation and selection of a vendor as well as key data management activities designed to prepare for the development and implementation of the STARS Integrated Tax System (ITS). ADOR in coordination with the State Procurement Office (SPO) completed the RFP solicitation and is ready to move forward with the Discovery and Planning phase of the project.

The Discovery and Planning phase of the project is required to perform post RFP award preparation activities, initial implementation of the cloud infrastructure, hosting, and support.



2.2 How will solving this issue or addressing this need benefit the State or the Agency?

"The State, Counties, Municipalities and its stakeholders will benefit by having a single Integrated Tax System of Record (ITS) that will house all business functions, for all tax types. This will diminish current manual processes listed in section 2.1, increase compliance revenue with increased analytics and will provide taxpayers a modern external facing portal that will be utilized for all electronic transactions for all tax types.

Improved Tax Administration:

- *Automation of routine tasks such return/payment processing that ensures integrity of reported data and will flag anomalies for further review
- *A holistic, comprehensive understanding of the client relationship that improves customer inquiries and the timely exchange of information
- *Data is a managed asset that creates more effective and data analytic capabilities at both a taxpayer and operations level
- *Compliance efforts are guided by data-driven strategies in reducing the tax gap that yield better results

Expanded Electronic Services:

- *Function-rich self service portal that maximizes customers' ease of use for taxpayers and their authorized representatives
- *Account management, filing and payment options that encourage the adoption of additional electronic services
- *Increased electronic communication that is timely, accurate and provides clear direction which will increase taxpayers to voluntary comply with tax obligations

Improved Stakeholder Relationship:

- *Reporting is timely, clear and consistent
- *Data is shared with partners and the public for additional analysis and verification (within the limits of the statute)
- *System is configurable and adaptable to respond to changes in legislation

ADOR will utilize the remaining APF funds to perform post RFP award preparation activities. In addition, this project will fund Full Time Employees (FTEs) and contractors who will continue data management efforts, as well as focus on discovery and planning activities, and will perform discovery and planning activities in coordination with ADOR, stakeholders, and the selected vendor. ADOR will approach this implementation as a development project, requiring upfront current state system analysis and requirements gathering.



2.3 Describe the proposed solution to this business need.

The State will selected a solution to provide the State and its stakeholders an enterprise platform for tax administration.

The ITS proposed solution will contain all tax administration business functions for all tax types. It will consolidate multiple existing tax systems into a single comprehensive solution to better serve stakeholders.

The ITS proposed solution will be housed on a cloud platform.

The ITS proposed solution will improve customer experience and shrink the tax gap. It will improve the Department's operational efficiency by identifying areas where automation can replace manual, labor intensive processing. It will also include automated account selection tools that will generate revenue and reduce repetitive, manual processes.

The ITS proposed solution will also contain an income tax model that can be used by the Department, OSPB and JLBC to generate revenue estimates of tax policy changes for income taxes as required by statute. This is expected to shorten the time and effort needed to implement tax policy changes as they are passed through the legislative process.

The scope of this PIJ is to perform discovery and preparation activities in coordination with the Department and its stakeholders. The Department is going to approach this implementation as a development project, requiring upfront current state system analysis and requirements gathering. This effort is scheduled to extend beyond this current PIJ scope.

2.4 Has the existing technology environment, into which the proposed solution will be implemented, been documented?

Yes

- 2.4a Please describe the existing technology environment into which the proposed solution will be implemented.
- 2.5 Have the business requirements been gathered, along with any technology requirements that have been identified?

Yes

2.5a Please explain below why the requirements are not available.

3. PRE-PIJ/ASSESSMENT

3.1 Are you submitting this as a Pre-PIJ in order to issue a Request for Proposal (RFP) to evaluate options and select a solution that meets the project requirements?

No

3.1a Is the final Statement of Work (SOW) for the RFP available for review?



3.2 Will you be completing an assessment/Pilot/RFP phase, i.e. an evaluation by a vendor, 3rd party or your agency of the current state, needs, & desired future state, in order to determine the cost, effort, approach and/or feasibility of a project?
Yes
3.2a Describe the reason for completing the assessment/pilot/RFP and the expected deliverables.

The Department has completed a feasibility study / assessment in partnership with Grant Thornton LP. This study informed the desired future state and was used to build the Department's budget request.

3.2b Provide the estimated cost, if any, to conduct the assessment phase and/or Pilot and/or RFP/solicitation process.

330000

3.2e Based on research to date, provide a high-level cost estimate to implement the final solution. 104800000

4. Project

4.1 Does your agency have a formal project methodology in place?

Yes

4.2 Describe the high level makeup and roles/responsibilities of the Agency, Vendor(s) and other third parties (i.e. agency will do...vendor will do...third party will do).

Per the RFP, ADOR will provide overall leadership for the entire project. The selected Offeror is expected to provide overall project management.

4.3 Will a PM be assigned to manage the project, regardless of whether internal or vendor provided?

Yes

4.3a If the PM is credentialed, e.g., PMP, CPM, State certification etc., please provide certification information.

4.4 Is the proposed procurement the result of an RFP solicitation process?

Yes

4.5 Is this project referenced in your agency's Strategic IT Plan?

Yes

5. SCHEDULE

5.1 Is a project plan available that reflects the estimated Start Date and End Date of the project, and the supporting Milestones of the project?



5.2 Provide an estimated start and	finish date for implement	ting the p	roposed solution.
Est. Implementation Start Date	E	st. Imple	mentation End Date
5.3 How were the start and end da	ites determined?		
Based on funding			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	=		ect, e.g., acquire new web server, develop estimate start/finish dates for each, if known.
Milestone / Task	Estimated Start Date		Estimated Finish Date
outages, deployment plan?			orated, e.g. communications, planned o the implementation of the proposed
solution. e.g., building reconstruct	ion, cabling, etc.?		
5.5a Does the PIJ include the facilit	ties costs associated with	construct	tion?
5.5b Does the project plan reflect t	the timeline associated wi	ith compl	leting the construction?
6. I MPACT			
6.1 Are there any known resource	availability conflicts that o	could imp	eact the project?
6.1a Have the identified conflicts b	een taken into account in	the proje	ect plan?
6.2 Does your schedule have depe	ndencies on any other pro	ojects or p	procurements?
6.2a Please identify the projects or	procurements.		
6.3 Will the implementation involv	e major end user view or	function	ality changes?
6.4 Will the proposed solution resu	ult in a change to a public-	-facing ap	oplication or system?
7. Budget			
7.1 Is a detailed project budget ref hardware, initial software licenses,			costs to implement the project available, e.g,
			ion over a 5-year lifecycle, once the project is ual maintenance and support not acquired

7.3 Have all required funding sources for the project and ongoing support costs been identified?
7.4 Will the funding for this project expire on a specific date, regardless of project timelines?
Yes
7.5 Will the funding allocated for this project include any contingency, in the event of cost over-runs or potential changes in scope?
8. Technology
8.1 Please indicate whether a statewide enterprise solution will be used or select the primary reason for not choosing an enterprise solution.
There is not a statewide enterprise solution available
8.2 Will the technology and all required services be acquired off existing State contract(s)?
8.3 Will any software be acquired through the current State value-added reseller contract?
8.3a Describe how the software was selected below:
8.4 Does the project involve technology that is new and/or unfamiliar to your agency, e.g., software tool never used before, virtualized server environment?
8.5 Does your agency have experience with the vendor (if known)?
8.6 Does the vendor (if known) have professional experience with similar projects?
8.7 Does the project involve any coordination across multiple vendors?
8.8 Does this project require multiple system interfaces, e.g., APIs, data exchange with other external application systems/agencies or other internal systems/divisions?
8.9 Have any compatibility issues been identified between the proposed solution and the existing environment, e.g., upgrade to server needed before new COTS solution can be installed?
8.9a Describe below the issues that were identified and how they have been/will be resolved, or whether an ADOA-ASET representative should contact you.
8.10 Will a migration/conversion step be required, i.e., data extract, transformation and load?
8.11 Is this replacing an existing solution?
Yes



8.11a Indicate below when the solution being replaced was originally acquired.

The original implementation date of TAS was December 2003.

- 8.11b Describe the planned disposition of the existing technology below, e.g., surplused, retired, used as backup, used for another purpose:
- 8.12 Describe how the agency determined the quantities reflected in the PIJ, e.g., number of hours of P&OS, disk capacity required, number of licenses, etc. for the proposed solution?
- 8.13 Does the proposed solution and associated costs reflect any assumptions regarding projected growth, e.g., more users over time, increases in the amount of data to be stored over 5 years?
- 8.14 Does the proposed solution and associated costs include failover and disaster recovery contingencies?
- 8.14a Please select why failover and disaster recovery is not included in the proposed solution.
- 8.15 Will the vendor need to configure the proposed solution for use by your agency?
- 8.15a Are the costs associated with that configuration included in the PIJ financials?
- 8.16 Will any app dev or customization of the proposed solution be required for the agency to use the project in the current/planned tech environment, e.g. a COTS app that will req custom programming, an agency app that will be entirely custom developed?
- 8.16a Will the customizations inhibit the ability to implement regular product updates, or to move to future versions?
- 8.16b Describe who will be customizing the solution below:
- 8.16c Do the resources that will be customizing the application have experience with the technology platform being used, e.g., .NET, Java, Drupal?
- 8.16d Please select the application development methodology that will be used:
- 8.16e Provide an estimate of the amount of customized development required, e.g., 25% for a COTS application, 100% for pure custom development, and describe how that estimate was determined below:
- 8.16f Are any/all Professional & Outside Services costs associated with the customized development included in the PIJ financials?
- 8.17 Have you determined that this project is in compliance with all applicable statutes, regulations, policies, standards & procedures, incl. those for network, security, platform, software/application &/or data/info found at aset.az.gov/resources/psp?
- 8.17a Describe below the compliance issues that were identified and how they have been/will be resolved, or whether an ADOA-ASET representative should contact you:

8.18 Are there other high risk project issues that have not been identified as part of this PIJ? 8.18a Please explain all unidentified high risk project issues below: 9. SECURITY 9.1 Will the proposed solution be vendor-hosted? 9.1a Please select from the following vendor-hosted options: 9.1b Describe the rationale for selecting the vendor-hosted option below: 9.1c Has the agency been able to confirm the long-term viability of the vendor hosted environment? 9.1d Has the agency addressed contract termination contingencies, e.g., solution ownership, data ownership, application portability, migration plans upon contract/support termination? 9.1e Has a Conceptual Design/Network Diagram been provided and reviewed by ASET-SPR? 9.1f Has the spreadsheet located at https://aset.az.gov/arizona-baseline-security-controls-excel already been completed by the vendor and approved by ASET-SPR? 9.2 Will the proposed solution be hosted on-premise in a state agency? 9.2a Where will the on-premise solution be located: 9.2b Were vendor-hosted options available and reviewed? 9.2c Describe the rationale for selecting an on-premise option below: 9.2d Will any data be transmitted into or out of the agency's on-premise environment or the State Data Center? 9.3 Will any PII, PHI, CGIS, or other Protected Information as defined in the 8110 Statewide Data Classification Policy be transmitted, stored, or processed with this project? 9.3a Describe below what security infrastructure/controls are/will be put in place to safeguard this data: 10. A REAS OF IMPACT **Application Systems** Other **Database Systems** Other



oftware	
ardware	
osted Solution (Cloud Implementation)	
ecurity	
elecommunications	
nterprise Solutions	
ontract Services/Procurements	

11. FINANCIALS

Base Budget (Available)	Base Budget (To Be Req)	Base Budget % of Project
		0%
APF (Available)	APF (To Be Req)	APF % of Project
\$14,948,900		100%
Other Appropriated (Available)	Other Appropriated (To Be Req)	Other Appropriated % of Project
		0%
Federal (Available)	Federal (To Be Req)	Federal % of Project
		0%
Other Non-Appropriated (Available)	Other Non-Appropriated (To Be Req)	Other Non-Appropriated % of Project
		0%

Total Budget Available	Total Development Cost
\$14,948,900	\$0
Total Budget To Be Req	Total Operational Cost
\$0	\$0
Total Budget	Total Cost
\$14,948,900	\$0

12. Project Success

Please specify what performance indicator(s) will be referenced in determining the success of the proposed project (e.g. increased productivity, improved customer service, etc.)? (A minimum of one performance indicator must be specified)

Please provide the performance objective as a quantifiable metric for each performance indicator specified. **Note:** The performance objective should provide the current performance level, the performance goal, and the time period within which that performance goal is intended to be achieved. You should have an auditable means

to measure and take corrective action to address any deviations.

Example: Within 6 months of project completion, the agency would hope to increase "Neighborhood Beautification" program registration by 20% (3,986 registrants) from the current registration count of 19,930 active participants.

Performance Indicators

13. Conditions

Conditions for Approval

Should development costs exceed the approved estimates by 10% or more, or should there be significant changes to the proposed technology scope of work or implementation schedule, the Agency must amend the PIJ to reflect the changes and submit it to ADOA-ASET, and ITAC if required, for review and approval prior to further expenditure of funds.

Monthly reporting on the project status is due to ADOA-ASET no later than the 15th of the month following the start of the project. Failure to comply with timely project status reporting will affect the overall project health. The first status report for this project is due on July 15, 2023.



Prior to system production environment launch or go live, the Agency must work with the Department of Administration (ADOA) and Department of Homeland Security (AZDOHS) Cyber Command, to assure the System Security Plan document is completed and approved by Cyber Command in order to ensure that the selected solution will provide an appropriate level of protection for State data.

The Agency may proceed with the proposed solution with the provisional AZRAMP authorization, however, the Agency must work with the Department of Administration (ADOA) and Department of Homeland Security (AZDOHS) Cyber Command to provide a report to Information Technology Authorization Committee (ITAC) on progress toward achieving full AZRAMP authorization by August 30, 2023. Additional reporting may be required by ITAC or ADOA-ASET.

The Agency must submit a report upon the conclusion of the discovery milestone to the Information Technology Authorization Committee (ITAC) that outlines the timeline for system validation of Internal Revenue Service (IRS) requirements and the attainment of FedRAMP authorization. IRC §6103 limits the use of FTI to certain purposes based on the authority under which it was received. IRS requires FedRAMP authorization followed by a 45 day notification prior to implementing certain operations including the ingesting any FTI into the solution, or technology capabilities that use FTI.

ADOA-ASET in coordination with ADOR will provide quarterly reports of the overall status of the project to the Joint Legislative Budget Committee (JLBC). The report will address the vendor's progress in compliance with all required cyber security controls for the system.

14. Oversight Summary

Project Background

The Arizona Department of Revenue is responsible for administering and collecting taxes and ensuring the agency provides high quality performance to meet the needs of taxpayers. The agency is organized into four divisions which are: Taxpayer Services, Processing, Education and Compliance, and Support. Each division performs specific functions which are integrated to achieve efficient tax collection and processing, timely enforcement of tax laws, and accurate valuation of property.

ADOR works extensively to maintain and improve the current Tax Administration System (TAS) and external-facing portal (AZTaxes). The current tax administration process utilizes numerous databases, feeder systems, and manual spreadsheets to support operations which affect the data integrity, is costly to maintain, and creates frustrated taxpayers and/or employees. Preparation for the integrated tax system modernization project requires ADOR to dedicate staff to the project and hire staff to backfill their positions to avoid interruption in business processes and services. A feasibility study was conducted of the current state business operations and supporting systems which identified extensive manual and disjointed effort to administer tax for the State of Arizona and its stakeholders.

In FY2023, ADOR received an Automation Project Funds (APF) appropriation of \$15,819,800.00 for the Integrated Tax System (ITS) Modernization known as the State Tax Accounting and Reconciliation System (STARS) project from the Joint Legislative Budget Committee (JLBC). In FY2023, Q2, ADOR received a favorable review from JLBC to utilize \$870,900 of the \$15,819,800.00 for the STARS Pre Contract Award Preparation (RV23002) project to perform Pre-RFP award preparation activities.

ADOR in coordination with the State Procurement Office (SPO) are ready to move forward with the Discovery and Planning phase of the project.

Business Justification

STARS will replace current systems and disparate databases with integrated functionality and will improve tax administration by automating routine tasks, managing data allowing data analytic capabilities for taxpayers and internal operations. The initiation of the STARS Discovery Phase project will allow ADOR to utilize employees to



participate in preparation activities. This project will begin to define deliverables included in the RFP, and begin to define core ITS functionality, the ITS system will need to meet the State's Cloud First initiative.

Implementation Plan

Tinsae Babo

ADOR will be responsible for overall leadership for the entire project, organization and stakeholder change management.

The IV&V vendor will be responsible for assessing and reporting the project's progress to all interested external stakeholders, training and knowledge transfer.

The project start, end, and milestone dates will be modified after the vendor contract is awarded.
Vendor Selection
ADOR is utilizing the Request For Proposal (RFP) process for the vendor selection.
Budget or Funding Considerations
Funding for the STARS Discovery Phase consists of 100% APF funds.
15. PIJ REVIEW CHECKLIST
Agency Project Sponsor
Neeraj Deshpande
Agency CIO (or Designee)
Thomas Rieckhoff
Agency ISO (or designee)
Stacy Wallace
OSPB Representative
ASET Engagement Manager
ASET SPR Representative
Agency SPO Representative
Michael Hillebrand
Agency CFO